Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42212 Jackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler RN101798312 Docket No. 2011-1357-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Faught Country Store, 59 County Road 44105, Paris, Lamar County

Type of Operation:

Property with four underground storage tanks ("USTs")

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: December 30, 2011

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$8,667

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,733 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$214 Total Due to General Revenue: \$6,720

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$192 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42212 Jackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler RN101798312 Docket No. 2011-1357-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: July 27, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): July 27, 2011

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 37.815(a) and (b)].
- 2. Failed to submit a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form in a timely manner. Specifically, the Respondents did not submit the self-certification form and two of the four USTs at the Facility were in use at the time of the investigation [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(B) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)].
- 3. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs. Specifically, the Respondents accepted one fuel delivery without a delivery certificate [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a)].
- 4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)].
- 5. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 6. Failed to provide release detection for the suction piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the triennial piping tightness test was not conducted [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2), and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondents to:

a. Immediately:

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42212 Jackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler RN101798312 Docket No. 2011-1357-PST-E

- i. Cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form;
- ii. Begin maintaining all UST records;
- b. Within 30 days:
- i. Submit documentation that demonstrates acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs;
- ii. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conduct the triennial piping tightness test; and
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mike Pace, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC R-04, (817) 588-5933; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEO SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Jackie Wheeler, Owner, Faught Country Store, 59 County Road 44105,

Paris, Texas 75462

Becky Wheeler, Owner, Faught Country Store, 59 County Road 44105, Paris, Texas

75462

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 **ICEQ** Assigned 1-Aug-2011 **EPA Due** Screening 4-Aug-2011 PCW 4-Aug-2011 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Jackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101798312 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 5-Tyler CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 5 Enf./Case ID No. 42212 Docket No. 2011-1357-PST-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$7,000 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. \$140 **Compliance History** 2.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 Enhancement for one NOV with dissimilar violations Notes Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement The Respondents do not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 \$0 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 **Economic Benefit** Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance \$7,140 Final Subtotal SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Adjustment \$1,527 21.4% OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance Notes associated with violation nos. 1 and 5. \$8,667 Final Penalty Amount \$8,667 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty -\$1,733 20.0% Adjustment Reduction DEFERRAL (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.) Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage.

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$6,934

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Screening Date 4-Aug-2011

Docket No. 2011-1357-PST-E

Respondent Jackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler

Case ID No. 42212

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101798312

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace

Component	Number of Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in		Adjust
NOVs	the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	U	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	n	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addies	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
outer	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
-	Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	otal 2)
eat Violator (
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	otal 3)
pliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	rformer Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	otal 7)
pliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History	Enhancement for one NOV with dissimilar violations.		

Screening Date		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	•	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		TOTT NOTICE OCCUPANTS OF MODE
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number	Mike Pace	
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 37.815(a) and (b)	
	Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage	
Violation Description	by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum undergr	
	storage tanks ("USTs").	
The state of the s		
	Base	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental. Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
OR Release	Major Moderate Minor	
Potential	Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	X Percent 10%	
Titiliatiee aase		
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes		
	Adjustment	\$9,000
	388888888888888888888888888888888888888	\$1,000
		41/000
Violation Events		
Number of V	iolation Events 2 365 Number of violation d	ays
	daily weekly	
	monthly BOUNCE HOUSE	
mark only one with an x	quarterly Violation Base	Penalty \$2,000
	semiannual annual	
	single event x	
L		
	Two single events (one event per active UST) are recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	oly 0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary Ordinary	
	N/A x (mark with x)	
	The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes this violation.	
	Violation S	Subtotal \$2,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit 1	Test
-	d EB Amount \$1,386 Violation Final Penal	ty Total \$2,476
Latinate		
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	r limits) \$2,476
		>>>>>>>>

Respondent				777	rksheet		
		r and Becky Whee	eler				
Case ID No.							
eg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101798312						
Media	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1					reitent miterest	Depreciation
						5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description		•					
atem Peacificien	No commas or a						
							
Delayed Costs Equipment		lii r		1 0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	_	<u> </u>		0.00	<u>30</u> \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	<u> produceran a realización e de centra c</u>			0.00	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Land	********			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	***************************************	i		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Other (as needed)				<u> 0,00</u>	\$0	n/a l	\$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment				enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$1.320	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00	ng item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0	s0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment				enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,320	ed costs \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,386
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	\$1,320 Estimated avo	27-Jul-2010	27-Jul-2011 de financial ass	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00	1g item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,320 \$0	s0

Screening Dat		PCW
		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No		PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No		
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinate Violation Number		
Rule Cite(
Kuie Cite(30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(B) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)	
	·[[#부분명 : 1.16] 발시 및 발로 부시 : - 기술 : 11, 기 : 1.	
	Failed to submit a properly completed UST registration and self-certification	
Violation Description	a timely manner. Specifically, the Respondents did not submit the self-cert	
	form and two of the four USTs at the Facility were in use at the time of investigation.	Oie
	Base	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental Prop	erty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
Releas	se Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actu		
Potenti	al Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	n Major Moderate Minor	
Tasmeado	Y Percent 10%	
Matrix	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes		COCCOCCOCC
L		
	Adjustment	\$9,000
		\$1,000
		<u> </u>
Violation Events		
	Lectures and the second	
Number o	f Violation Events 1 8 Number of violation d	ays
	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	
mark only one	quarterly Violation Base	Penalty \$1,000
with an x	semiannual continuin	
	annual x	
	single event	.*
·		- Principle of the second
One annua	al event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the Ju	Jly 27,
	2011 record review.	
Good Faith Efforts to Cor	nply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
amannamannantiinin ja ka	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary	:
	Ordinary	
	N/A (mark with x)	
	The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for	edite the
	Notes this violation,	-
		······································
	Violation S	Subtotal \$1,000
	violation	51,000
Economic Benefit (EB) fo	r this violation Statutory Limit '	Test
20 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		o
Estima	ited EB Amount \$3 Violation Final Penal	ty Total \$1,238
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	r limits) \$1,238
	This violation i filat Assessed i Charty (adjusted to	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		-contraction of the factor of the contraction of th

Violation No.	Petroleum Sto 2	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0,00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal			******************	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$100	27-Jul-2011	4-Feb-2012	0.53	\$3	n/a	\$3
						n/a self-certification for	
Notes for DELAYED costs	current TCE	Q delivery certific	ate. The date r estimat	nit a US equired ed com	T registration and d is the record rev apliance date.	self-certification for iew date and the fin	m and obtain a al date is the
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	current TCE	Q delivery certific	ate. The date r estimat	nit a US equired ed com	T registration and d is the record revapliance date. In item (except in the context)	self-certification for few date and the fin for one-time avoid	m and obtain a al date is the led costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	current TCE	Q delivery certific	ate. The date r estimat	nit a US equired ed com enterir 0.00	T registration and d is the record revipliance date. In item (except \$0	self-certification for iew date and the fin for one-time avoic \$0	m and obtain a al date is the led costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	current TCE	Q delivery certific	ate. The date r estimat	nit a US required red com enterir 0.00 0.00	T registration and d is the record revipliance date. ing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	self-certification for iew date and the fin for one-time avoic \$0 \$0	m and obtain a al date is the led costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	current TCE	Q delivery certific	ate. The date r estimat	equirected comments of the com	T registration and d is the record revapliance date. In item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	self-certification for iew date and the fin for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0	m and obtain a al date is the led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	current TCE	Q delivery certific	ate. The date r estimat	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	T registration and d is the record rev pliance date. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	self-certification for iew date and the fin for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	m and obtain a al date is the led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	current TCE	Q delivery certific	ate. The date r estimat	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	T registration and d is the record rev in pliance date. In item (except so	self-certification for iew date and the fin for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	m and obtain a al date is the led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	current TCE	Q delivery certific	ate. The date r estimat	enterir enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	T registration and d is the record rev inpliance date. Ing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	self-certification for iew date and the fin for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	m and obtain a al date is the led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	current TCE	Q delivery certific	ate. The date r estimat	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	T registration and d is the record rev in pliance date. In item (except so	self-certification for iew date and the fin for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	m and obtain a al date is the led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date 4		PCW
Respondent J Case ID No. 4	ackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No. R		TOTA NEVISION OCCUBER SUF ECOL
Media [Statute] P	etroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator N Violation Number	1ike Pace	
Rule Cite(s)	20 7 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10.78.S
	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.34	167(a)
Violation Description	Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ de certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the Specifically, the Respondents accepted one fuel delivery without a del certificate.	USTs.
	Base	e Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	v and Human Health Matrix	
>> Environmental, Fropert	Harm	
Release Actual	Major Moderate Minor	
Potential	x Percent 5%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0%	
Matrix Which would not	or the environment will or could be exposed to insignificant amounts of polyced levels that are protective of human health or environmental received.	ollutants ofors as a
Notes Which Would not	result of the violation.	
	Adjustment	\$9,500
		\$500
Violation Events		
Violation Events		
Number of Vic	olation Events 1 Number of violation of	lays
	daily	
	weekly	
mark only one	monthly Violation Base	Penalty \$500
with an x	quarterly Violation Base semiannual	: Penalty
	annual	
	single event x	
	One single event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Compl	y 0.0% Reduction	\$0
-	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	xtraordinary Ordinary Ordinary	
	N/A x (mark with x)	
	The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes this violation.	
	Violation	Subtotal \$500
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	nis violation Statutory Limit	Test
Estimated	EB Amount \$0 Violation Final Pena	ity Total \$619
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits) \$619

Violation No.	Petroleum Sto 3	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreclation
						5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		l		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs			Economic benef	it inclu	ded in violation no	2.	
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUAL			nterir		for one-time avoic	
Avoided Costs Disposal	ANNUAL			nterir 0.00	ng item (except i \$0	for one-time avoic	\$0
Avoided Costs, Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL			nterir 0.00 0.00	ng item (except : \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel espection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL			0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANNUAL:			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUAL			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANNUAL			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Personnel Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUAL			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.		on 2 (September 2002) rision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		131011 October 30, 2000
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number Rule Cite(s)		
Kuie Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)	
1	Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection	
Violation Description	upon request by agency personnel.	
	Base Penalty [\$10,000
	ty and Human Health Matrix	
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and numan nearth matrix Harm	
Release	Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual Potential	Percent 0%	
Potential		
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor x Percent 10%	
السسسسسسا	Torsent 10/0	
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
	Adjustment \$9,000	
	F	±1.000
		\$1,000
Violation Events		
N	/iolation Events 1 8 Number of violation days	
Number of V	Violation Events 1 8 Number of violation days	
	daily	
ALL	weekly	
mark only one	monthly Violation Base Penalty	\$1,000
with an x	semiannual	
	annual annual	
	single event x	
	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
One single eve	ent is recommended based on the documentation of the violation during the July 27, 2011 record review.	
<u> </u>		
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	oly 0.0% Reduction	\$0
33888	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
	N/A X (mark with x)	
	N/A x ((mark with x) The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for	
	N/A x (mark with x) The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for	
	N/A x ((mark with x) The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	\$1 000
	N/A x ((mark with x) The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal	\$1,000
	N/A x ((mark with x) The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal	\$1,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for	N/A x ((mark with x) Notes The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal this violation Statutory Limit Test	\$1,000 \$1,238
Economic Benefit (EB) for	N/A x ((mark with x) Notes The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal this violation Statutory Limit Test	

하는 사람들은 사람들이 가장 하는 것이 되었다. 그 사람들이 가장 그렇게 하는 것이 되었다. 그렇게 하는 것이 되었다.	RN101798312 Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	4					5.0	Depreciació: 1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
			**********************		***************************************		
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	27-Jul-2011	4-Feb-2012	0.53	\$13	n/a	\$13
Totalian (Committee)	Contraction of the Contract of			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling							
Remediation/Disposal		j i		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)	Estimated co	st to maintain UST		0.00 0.00 date re	\$0 \$0 quired is the recor		\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs			the estima	0.00 0.00 date re	\$0 \$0 quired is the recor e of compliance.	n/a n/a d review date and t	\$0 \$0 he final date is
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs			the estima	0.00 0.00 date re ited dat	\$0 \$0 quired is the recor e of compliance. ng item (except	n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoid	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs)
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal			the estima	0.00 0.00 date re ited dat enterin	\$0 \$0 quired is the recore e of compliance. ig item (except \$0	n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoid \$0	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs) \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel			the estima	0.00 0.00 date rested date entering 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the recor e of compliance. ng item (except \$0 \$0	n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoice \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs) \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling			the estima	date rested date enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the recor te of compliance. Ig item (except \$0 \$0	n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment			the estima	0.00 date reited dat enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the recor e of compliance. ig item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			the estima	0.00 0.00 date rested date	\$0 \$0 quired is the recore of compliance. In the following item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			the estima	date rested date enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the recore of compliance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			the estima	0.00 0.00 date rested date	\$0 \$0 quired is the recore of compliance. In the following item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Perction/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			the estima	date rested date enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the recore of compliance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			the estima	date rested date enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the recore of compliance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a d review date and t for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 he final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Da	te 4-Aug-2011 Docket No. 2011-1357-PST-E	PCW
		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID N		PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference N		
Enf. Coordinat	e] Petroleum Storage Tank	
Violation Numb	2 6 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 	
Rule Cite(de S
	26.3475(b) and (c)(1)	
Violation Descripti	Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once ever (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide detection for the suction piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the piping tightness test was not conducted.	release
	Base	Penalty \$10,000
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
>> Environmental, Prop	erty and Human Health Matrix	
Relea	Harm se Major Moderate Minor	
OR Acti		
Potent		
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsificatio	n Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	
L.	Fercenc U70	
Matrix Human hea	olth or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exce	ed levels
Notes that ar	protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the viola	CIOIT.
<u> </u>		
	Adjustment	\$7,500
		\$2,500
Violation Events		
•	Survey Search Survey of violation d	aive.
Number	of Violation Events 1 8 Number of violation d	ays
	daily	
	weekly	
mark only on	monthly x	- 40 500
with an x	quarterly violation base	Penalty \$2,500
	semiannual	
	annual single event	
	hly event is recommended based on the documentation of the violation during t	he luly
one mon.	27, 2011 record review to the August 4, 2011 screening date.	
<u> </u>		
Good Faith Efforts to Co	mply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
dood raitii chores to co	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$3355555555555555555555555555555555555
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
	N/A X (mark with x)	
	The Respondents do not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes this violation.	
	Violation S	Subtotal \$2,500
Economic Benefit (EB) for	or this violation Statutory Limit	Test
Ection	ated EB Amount \$187 Violation Final Penal	ty Total \$3,095
ESCIII		
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	r limits) \$3,095

	Jackie Mileele	r and Becky Whee	eier				
Case ID No.	42212						
ea, Ent. Reference No.	RN101798312						
Media	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.		-				Leifeilf miferear	Depreciation
						5.0	1!
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
	THE COMMINGS OF A					DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	Bereiche gegen gegen zu gegen geben.
Delayed Costs						,	
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land			~~~	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	<u></u>			0.00	\$0 \$45	n/a n/a	<u>\$0</u> \$45
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	27-Jul-2011					
	+1			0.61			
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs		d cost of monitori the	ng the USTs for final date is th	releas e expe	es. The date requ cted date of comp	ired is the record re	view date and
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal		d cost of monitori the	ng the USTs for final date is th	releas e expe	es. The date requ cted date of comp	ired is the record re liance.	view date and
Avoided Costs		d cost of monitori the	ng the USTs for final date is th	releas e expe	es. The date requicted date of comp	ired is the record re liance. for one-time avoid	view date and
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		d cost of monitori the	ng the USTs for final date is th	releas e exper enterir	es. The date requicted date of comp of tem (except \$0	ired is the record re liance. For one-time avoic \$0	view date and ded costs) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		d cost of monitori the	ng the USTs for final date is th	release e experi enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	es. The date requoted date of comp ng item (except 1	ired is the record re liance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	view date and ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling		d cost of monitori the IZE [1] avoided	ng the USTs for final date is th costs before	release e experi 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	es. The date requoted date of comp ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		d cost of monitori the	ng the USTs for final date is th	release e experimento e experi	es. The date requoted date of comp ng item (except 1	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$118	ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$141
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Dection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUAL:	d cost of monitori the IZE [1] avoided	ng the USTs for final date is th costs before	release e experi 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	es. The date requoted date of comp ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$118	d cost of monitori the IZE [1] avoided 27-Jul-2008 voided cost to cor	ng the USTs for final date is th costs before 27-Jul-2011	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	es. The date required date of comp Ing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$23 \$0 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$118	solution date and services dat

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN600974943

Becky Wheeler

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 1.00

Regulated Entity:

RN101798312

Faught Country Store

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 1.00

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK

REGISTRATION

33370

Location:

REGISTRATION

TCEQ Region:

59 COUNTY ROAD 44105, PARIS, TX, 75462

REGION 05 - TYLER

Date Compliance History Prepared:

August 02, 2011

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period:

August 02, 2006 to August 02, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Mike Pace

(817) 588-5933

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

No

If Yes, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

N/A

Rating Date: 9/01/2010

Repeat Violator:

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В.

Chronic excessive emissions events. C.

The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D.

1 02/22/2007 (540842)

2 04/13/2007 (555377)

3 07/27/2011 (922532)

Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) E.

Date:

02/23/2007

(540842)

CN600974943 Classification: Moderate

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334.7(d)(3)

Description:

Failure to amend the TCEQ PST registration information to reflect the current

status of the USTs located at the Faught Country Store.

Environmental audits. F.

N/A

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

N/A

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. H.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. Ι.

N/A

Early compliance. J.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN603153180

Wheeler, Jackie

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 1.00

Regulated Entity:

RN101798312 Faught Country Store Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 1.00

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK

REGISTRATION 33370

REGISTRATION

59 COUNTY ROAD 44105, PARIS, TX, 75462

TCEQ Region:

Location:

REGION 05 - TYLER

Date Compliance History Prepared:

August 02, 2011

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period:

August 02, 2006 to August 02, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Mike Pace

Phone:

(817) 588-5933

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

No

3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator?

4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

N/A

Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government. A.

N/A

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В,

Chronic excessive emissions events. C.

The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D.

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

N/A

Environmental audits. F.

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. I.

N/A

Early compliance. J.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
JACKIE WHEELER AND	§	
BECKY WHEELER	§	
RN101798312	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2011-1357-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Jackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler ("the Respondents") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondents appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondents own a property with four underground storage tanks ("USTs") at 59 County Road 44105 in Paris, Lamar County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondents' four USTs are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondents agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondents are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondents received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about August 1, 2011.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondents of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand Six Hundred Sixty-Seven Dollars (\$8,667) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondents have paid Two Hundred Fourteen Dollars

(\$214) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty-Three Dollars (\$1,733) is deferred contingent upon the Respondents' timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondents fail to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondents to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Six Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$6,720) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$192) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondents fail to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondents to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondents to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondents have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondents have not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owners of the Facility, the Respondents are alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 37.815(a) and (b), as documented during a record review conducted on July 27, 2011.
- 2. Failed to submit a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form in a timely manner, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(B) and (c)(5)(B)(ii), as

Jackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler DOCKET NO. 2011-1357-PST-E Page 3

documented during a record review conducted on July 27, 2011. Specifically, the Respondents did not submit the self-certification form and two of the four USTs at the Facility were in use at the time of the investigation.

- 3. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a), as documented during a record review conducted on July 27, 2011. Specifically, the Respondents accepted one fuel delivery without a delivery certificate.
- 4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b), as documented during a record review conducted on July 27, 2011.
- 5. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during a record review conducted on July 27, 2011.
- 6. Failed to provide release detection for the suction piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2), and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(b), as documented during a record review conducted on July 27, 2011. Specifically, the triennial piping tightness test was not conducted.

III. DENIALS

The Respondents generally deny each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondents pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondents' compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Jackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler, Docket No. 2011-1357-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondents are jointly and severally liable for the violations documented in this Agreed Order, and are jointly and severally liable for timely and satisfactory compliance with all terms and conditions of this Agreed Order.
- 3. It is further ordered that the Respondents shall undertake the following technical requirements:

- a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8; and
 - ii. Begin maintaining all UST records, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10.
- b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Submit documentation that demonstrates acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 37.815; and
 - ii. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conduct the triennial piping tightness test, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50.
- c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 3.a.i. through 3.b.ii. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Tyler Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2916 Teague Drive Tyler, Texas 75701-3734

- 4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondents. The Respondents are ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 5. If the Respondents fail to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondents' failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondents shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondents shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondents become aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondents shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondents receive written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondents in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 8. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.
- 9. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondents, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondents, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Jackie Wheeler and Becky Wheeler DOCKET NO. 2011-1357-PST-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	1/30/12
For the Executive Director	Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation. I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;	
 Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. 	
In addition, any falsification of any compliance docum	
Signature	// - /7-// Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Jackie Wheeler	Title
Bleke Wheeler Becky Wheeler	//- /8-// Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Becky Wheeler	Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.